Response ID ANON-Z596-YZCW-B Submitted to AML/CFT Act review Submitted on 2021-12-03 12:07:11 Tell us a bit about yourself 1 What age group are you in? 50-64 2 What is your ethnicity? (You can select more than one.) NZ European Please specify: Not Answered Please specify: Not Answered Please specify: Not Answered Please specify: 3 If you're responding on behalf of an organisation or particular interest group, please give details below: Organisation or special interest group details: 4 If you would like to be contacted in the future about AML/CFT work, please include your email address below. (Note you are not required to provide your email address. You can provide your submission anonymously.) Email address: 1. Institutional arrangements and stewardship 1.1 Are the purposes of the Act still appropriate for New Zealand's Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financing of Terrorism (AML/CFT) regime? Yes If you answered 'no', what should be changed?: If you think there are other purposes that should be added, please give details below:: 1.2 Should a purpose of the Act be that it seeks to actively prevent money laundering and terrorism financing, rather than simply deterring or detecting it? No Please comment on your answer.: 1.3 If you answered 'yes' to Question 1.2, do you have any suggestions how this purpose should be reflected in the Act, including whether there need to be any additional or updated obligations for businesses? Please share your comments below.: 1.4 Should a purpose of the Act be that it also seeks to counter the financing of proliferation of weapons of mass destruction? Yes

1.5 If you answered 'yes' to Question 1.4, should the purpose be limited to proliferation financing risks emanating from Iran and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea?

Please comment on your answer.:

No
Please give reasons for your answer.:
These are not the only countries that there needs to be concerns regarding
No
Please comment on your answer.:
The goal of the Act is commendable - its the mechanics of the implementation that ate the problem
1.6 Should the Act support the implementation terrorism and proliferation financing targeted financial sanctions, required under the Terrorism Suppression Act 2002 and United Nations Act 1946?
Unsure
Please comment on your answer.:
Dont really want to comment of anything other that the existing Act
1.7 What could be improved about New Zealand's framework for sharing information to manage risks?
Please share your comments below.:
Having society conscious of the issues and having the integrity to act when something is not right. This has been lost over the last 20 years
1.8 Are the requirements in section 58 still appropriate?
Unsure
Please comment on your answer.:
How could the government provide risk information to businesses so that it is more relevant and easily understood?:
Provide more template and industry based handbooks. It is a huge cost for SME to build these from scratch and maintain them
1.9 What is the right balance between prescriptive regulation compared with the risk-based approach?
Please share your comments below.:
This is not working. The compliance cost on small business to comply and second guess both approaches is too high, and no matter what is done is likely to be wrong!
Does the Act currently achieve that balance, or is more (or less) prescription required?:
No - every SME is left to fend for themselves
1.10 Do some obligations require the government to set minimum standards?
No
If you answered 'yes', please comment on how this could be done.:
What role should guidance play in providing further clarity?:
Guidance = more bureaucracy??
1.11 Could more be done to ensure that businesses' obligations are in proportion to the risks they are exposed to?
Yes
If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.:
Absolutely. A SME with 200 known clients is being treated brutally
1.12 Does the Act appropriately reflect the size and capacity of the businesses within the AML/CFT regime?

Please give reasons for your answer.:

Too brutal on SME's

ousiness?
v'es
f you answered 'yes', please share your suggestions::
.14 Are exemptions still required for the regime to operate effectively?
f not, how can we ensure AML/CFT obligations are appropriate for low-risk businesses or activities?:
No
.15 Is the Minister of Justice the appropriate decision maker for exemptions under section 157?
'es
f you answered 'no', should it be an operational decision maker such as the Secretary of Justice? Please comment below.:
.16 Are the factors set out in section 157(3) appropriate?
Jnsure
f you answered 'no', please give reasons for your answer::
.17 Should it be specified that exemptions can only be granted in instances of proven low risk?
'es
Please give reasons for your answer.:
should this be the risk of the exemption, or the risk of the business?:
.18 Should the Act specify what applicants for exemptions under section 157 should provide?
Jnsure
Please give reasons for your answer.:
should there be a simplified process when applying to renew an existing exemption?:
.19 Should there be other avenues beyond judicial review for applicants if the Minister decides not to grant an exemption?
Jnsure Control of the
f you answered 'yes', what could these avenues look like?:
.20 Are there any other improvements that we could make to the exemptions function?
Jnsure Control of the
f you answered 'yes', please give details::
or example, should the process be more formalised with a linear documentary application process?:
.21 Can the AML/CFT regime do more to mitigate its potential unintended consequences?
'es
f you answered 'yes', please give details::
Organisations have imposed AML /CFT with a mindless obsession.
.22 How could the regime better protect the need for people to access banking services to properly participate in society?
Please share your comments below.:
volunteer as a Treasurer of two small Registered Charities. it took me 10hours each to work thru change of Trustees, and signatories with Westpac an SBS Bank in Aug 2021 . This is a serious impediment to our society and i am not sure i have either the time of willingness to go thru this again. Banks have become mindless - It does not matter what teh form says as long as they have it.

1.23 Are there any other unintended consequences of the regime?

Yes

If you answered 'yes', what are they and how could we resolve them?:

Why does ID expire!? You are either ID-ed or you are not. Why is there this ludicrous view that ID expires after 3 months. This is an example of the Act being miss used.

1.24 Can the Act do more to enable private sector collaboration and coordination?

Yes

If you answered 'yes', please give details::

Its about integrity and co-operation.

1.25 What do you see as the ideal future for public and private sector cooperation?

Please share your comments below.:

Are there any barriers that prevent that future from being realised and if so, what are they?:

Faceless and nameless people in Organisations such as banks, not being accountable, not giving out their contact details so that their requirements can be discussed and challenged

1.26 Should there be greater sharing of information from agencies to the private sector?

No

If you answered 'yes', would this enhance the operation of the regime?:

1.27 Should the Act require have a mechanism to enable feedback about the operation and performance of the Act on an ongoing basis?

Yes

If you answered 'yes', what is the mechanism and how could it work?:

1.28 Should the New Zealand Police Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU) be able to request information from businesses which are not reporting entities in certain circumstances (e.g. requesting information from travel agents or airlines relevant to analysing terrorism financing)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer.:

1.29 If the FIU had this power, under what circumstances should it be able to be used and should there be any constraints on using the power?

Please share your comments below.:

Usual Search Warrant criteria.

1.30 Should the FIU be able to request information from businesses on an ongoing basis?

No

Please explain your answer:

There is a process for this - Search Warrant we dont need something new

1.31 If the FIU had this power, what constraints are necessary to ensure that privacy and human rights are adequately protected?

What constraints are needed?:

1.32 Should the Act provide the FIU with a power to freeze, on a time limited basis, funds or transactions in order to prevent harm and victimisation?

Yes

If you answered 'yes', how could the power work and operate? In what circumstances could the power be used, and how could we ensure it is a proportionate and reasonable power? Please share your comments below.:

This is getting off the AML / CFT topic, but the regulation in financial payments sector is moving too slow for the pasce of chane.

1.33 How can we avoid potentially tipping off suspected criminals when the power is used?
Please share your comments below.:
1.34 Should supervision of implementation of Targeted Financial Sanctions (TFS) fall within the scope of the AML/CFT regime?
Yes
Please give reasons for your answer.:
1.35 Which agency or agencies should be empowered to supervise, monitor, and enforce compliance with obligations to implement TFS?
Please describe below and give reasons for your answer.:
1.36 Are the secondary legislation making powers in the Act appropriate, or are there other aspects of the regime that could benefit from having regulation making powers created?
Please share your comments below.:
1.37 How could we better use secondary legislation making powers to ensure the regime is agile and responsive?
Please share your comments below.:
1.38 Are the three Ministers responsible for issuing Codes of Practice the appropriate decision makers, or should it be an operational decision maker such as the chief executives of the AML/CFT supervisors? Why or why not?
Please share your comments below and give reasons for your answer.:
1.39 Should the New Zealand Police also be able to issue Codes of Practice for some types of FIU issued guidance?
Yes
If you answered yes, what should the process be?:
1.40 Are Codes of Practice a useful tool for businesses?
1.40 Are Codes of Practice a useful tool for businesses? Unsure
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice?
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice?
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice? No
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice? No If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.:
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice? No If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.: 1.42 What status should be applied to explanatory notes to Codes of Practice? Are these a reasonable and useful tool?
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice? No If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.: 1.42 What status should be applied to explanatory notes to Codes of Practice? Are these a reasonable and useful tool? Please share your comments below.: 1.43 Should operational decision makers within agencies be responsible for making or amending the format of reports and forms required by
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice? No If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.: 1.42 What status should be applied to explanatory notes to Codes of Practice? Are these a reasonable and useful tool? Please share your comments below.: 1.43 Should operational decision makers within agencies be responsible for making or amending the format of reports and forms required by the Act?
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice? No If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.: 1.42 What status should be applied to explanatory notes to Codes of Practice? Are these a reasonable and useful tool? Please share your comments below.: 1.43 Should operational decision makers within agencies be responsible for making or amending the format of reports and forms required by the Act? No
Unsure If you answered 'yes', are there any additional topics that Codes of Practice should focus on? What enhancements could be made to Codes of Practice? Please share your comments below.: 1.41 Does the requirement for businesses to demonstrate they are complying through some equally effective means impact the ability for businesses to opt out of a Code of Practice? No If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.: 1.42 What status should be applied to explanatory notes to Codes of Practice? Are these a reasonable and useful tool? Please share your comments below.: 1.43 Should operational decision makers within agencies be responsible for making or amending the format of reports and forms required by the Act? No Please give reasons for your answer.: 1.44 If you answered 'yes' to the previous question (question 1.43), which operational decision makers would be appropriate, and what could be the process for making the decision? For example, should the decision maker be required to consult with affected parties, and could the

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer.:

1.46 If we allowed for AML/CFT Rules to be issued, what would they be used for, and who should be responsible for issuir	ng them?
Please share your comments below.:	
1.47 Would you support regulations being issued for a tightly constrained direct data access arrangement which enables agencies to query intelligence the FIU holds?	specific government
No	
Please give reasons for your answer.:	
1.48 Are there any other privacy concerns that you think should be mitigated?	
Yes	
Please share your comments below.:	
1.49 What, if any, potential impacts do you identify for businesses if information they share is then shared with other age be potential negative repercussions notwithstanding the protections within section 44?	ncies? Could there
Please share your comments below.:	
1.50 Would you support the development of data-matching arrangements with FIU and other agencies to combat other fincluding trade-based money laundering and illicit trade?	inancial offending,
Yes	
Please give reasons for your answer.:	
1.51 What concerns, privacy or otherwise, would we need to navigate and mitigate if we developed data-matching arrang example, would allowing data-matching impact the likelihood of businesses being willing to file Suspicious Activity Reports	
Please share your comments below.:	
1.52 Should there be an AML/CFT-specific registration regime which complies with international requirements?	
Unsure	
If you answered 'yes', how could it operate, and which agency or agencies would be responsible for its operation? Please share you	r comments below.:
1.53 If such a regime was established, what is the best way for it to navigate existing registration and licensing requireme	nts?
Please share your comments below.:	
1.54 Are there alternative options for how we can ensure proper visibility of which businesses require supervision and the subject to appropriate fit-and-proper checks?	at all businesses are
Yes	
Please give reasons for your answer.:	
Clearly there are different size and risk profile businesses. One size cant fit all.	
1.55 Should there also be an AML/CFT licensing regime in addition to a registration regime?	
No	
Please give reasons for your answer.:	
1.56 If we established an AML/CFT licensing regime, how should it operate? How could we ensure the costs involved are r	not disproportionate?
Please share your comments below.:	
1.57 Should a regime only apply to sectors which have been identified as being highly vulnerable to money laundering an financing, but are not already required to be licensed?	ıd terrorism
No	
Please give reasons for your answer.:	
1.58 If such a regime was established, what is the best way for it to navigate existing licensing requirements?	

Please share your comments below.:

1.59 Would requiring risky businesses to be licensed impact the willingness of other businesses to have them as customers? Can you think of any potential negative flow-on effects?

Please share your comments below.:

1.60 Would you support a levy being introduced for the AML/CFT regime to pay for the operating costs of an AML/CFT registration and/or licensing regime?

Please give reasons for your answer.:

No i already have heavy compliance costs include an AML / CFT audit

Not Answered

1.61 If we developed a levy, who do you think should pay the levy (some or all reporting entities)?

Please share your comments below.:

The proceeds of crime should be used to meet the costs. Not innocent people in business

1.62 Should all reporting entities pay the same amount, or should the amount be calculated based on, for example, the size of the business, their risk profile, how many reports they make, or some other factor?

Please share your comments below.:

No they should not pay the same

1.63 Should the levy also cover some or all of the operating costs of the AML/CFT regime more broadly, and thereby enable the regime to be more flexible and responsive?

No

Please give reasons for your answer.:

1.64 If the levy paid for some or all of the operating costs, how would you want to see the regime's operation improved?

Please share your comments below.:

2. Scope of the AML/CFT Act

2.1 How should the Act determine whether an activity is captured, particularly for Designated Non-Financial Businesses and Professions (DNFBPs)?

Please share your comments below.:

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.2 If 'ordinary course of business' was amended to provide greater clarity, particularly for DFNBPs, how should it be articulated?

Please share your comments below.:

2.3 Should 'ordinary' be removed?

Not Answered

If so, how could we provide some regulatory relief for businesses which provide activities infrequently? Are there unintended consequences that may result? Please share your comments below.:

2.4 Should businesses be required to apply AML/CFT measures in respect of captured activities, irrespective of whether the business is a financial institution or a DNFBP?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.5 If you answered yes to the previous question (Question 2.4), should we remove 'only to the extent' from section 6(4)?

Not Answered

Would anything else need to change, e.g. to ensure the application of the Act is not inadvertently expanded? Please share your comments below.:

2.6 Should we issue regulations to clarify that captured activities attract AML/CFT obligations irrespective of the type of reporting entity which provides those activities?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.7 Should we remove the overlap between 'managing client funds' and other financial institution activities?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', how could we best do this to avoid any obligations being duplicated for the same activity? Please share your comments below.:

2.8 Should we clarify what is meant by 'professional fees'?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', what would be an appropriate definition? Please share your comments below.:

2.9 Should the fees of a third party be included within the scope of 'professional fees'?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.10 Does the current definition appropriately capture those businesses which are involved with a particular activity, including the operation and management of legal persons and arrangements?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

How could it be improved?:

2.11 Have you faced any challenges with interpreting the activity of 'engaging in or giving instructions'?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', what are those challenges and how could we address them?:

2.12 Should the terminology in the definition of financial institution be better aligned with the meaning of financial service provided in section 5 of the Financial Service Providers (Registration and Dispute Resolution) Act 2008?

Not Answered

If you answered yes, how could we achieve this?:

2.13 Are there other elements of the definition of financial institution that cause uncertainty and confusion about the Act's operation?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', please give details::

2.14 Should the definition of high-value dealer be amended so businesses which deal in high value articles are high-value dealers irrespective of how frequently they undertake relevant cash transactions?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

Can you think of any unintended consequences that might occur?:

2.15 What do you anticipate would be the compliance impact of this change?

Please share your comments below.:

2.16 Should we revoke the exclusion for pawnbrokers to ensure they can manage their money laundering and terrorism financing risks?

Not Answered Please give reasons for your answer.: 2.17 Given there is an existing regime for pawnbrokers, what obligations should we avoid duplicating to avoid unnecessary compliance costs? Please share your comments below.: 2.18 Should we lower the applicable threshold for high value dealers to enable better intelligence about cash transactions? Not Answered Please give reasons for your answer.: 2.19 If you answered 'yes' to the previous question (Question 2.18), what would be the appropriate threshold? How many additional transactions would be captured? Would you stop using or accepting cash for these transactions to avoid AML/CFT obligations? Please share your comments below.: 2.20 Do you currently engage in any transactions involving stores of value that are not portable devices (e.g. digital stored value instruments)? Not Answered If you answered 'yes', what is the nature and value of those transactions?: 2.21 What risks do you see with stored value instruments that do not use portable devices? Please share your comments below.: 2.22 Should we amend the definition of "stored value instruments" to be neutral as to the technology involved? Not Answered If you answered 'yes', how should we change the definition? Please share your comments below.: 2.23 Should acting as a secretary of a company, partner in a partnership, or equivalent position in other legal persons and arrangements attract AML/CFT obligations? Not Answered Please give reasons for your answer.: 2.24 If you are a business which provides this type of activity, what do you estimate the potential compliance costs would be for your business if it attracted AML/CFT obligations? Please share your comments below .:

How many companies or partnerships do you provide these services for?:

2.25 Should criminal defence lawyers have AML/CFT obligations?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', what should those obligations be and why?:

2.26 If you are a criminal defence lawyer, have you noticed any potentially suspicious activities?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', without breaching legal privilege, what were those activities and what did you do about them?:

2.27 Are there any unintended consequences that may arise from requiring criminal defence lawyers to have limited AML/CFT obligations, that we will need to be aware of?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', please give details::

2.28 Should non-life insurance companies become reporting entities under the Act?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.29 If you answered 'yes' to the previous question (Question 2.28), should non-life insurance companies have full obligations, or should they be tailored to the specific risks we have identified?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.30 If you are a non-life insurance business, what do you estimate would be the costs of having AML/CFT obligations (including limited obligations)?

Please share your comments below.:

2.31 Should we use regulations to ensure that all types of virtual asset service providers have AML/CFT obligations, including by declaring wallet providers which only provide safekeeping or administration are reporting entities?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', how should we do this?:

2.32 Would issuing regulations for this purpose change the scope of capture for virtual asset service providers which are currently captured by the AML/CFT regime?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.:

2.33 Is the Act sufficiently clear that preparing or processing invoices can be captured in certain circumstances?

Not Answered

If you answered 'no', please give reasons for your answer.:

2.34 If we clarified the activity, should we also clarify what obligations businesses should have?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.:

2.35 Should preparing accounts and tax statements attract AML/CFT obligations?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.36 If you answered 'yes' to the previous question (Question 2.35), what would be the appropriate obligations for businesses which provide these services?

Please share your comments below.:

2.37 Should tax-exempt non-profits and non-resident tax charities be included within the scope of the AML/CFT Act given their vulnerabilities to being misused for terrorism financing?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.38 If these non-profit organisations were included, what should their obligations be?

Please share your comments below.:

2.39 Are there any other regulatory or class exemptions that need to be revisited, e.g. because they no longer reflect situations of proven low risk or because there are issues with their operation?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', please share your suggestions.:

2.40 Should the exemption for internet auctions still apply, and are the settings correct in terms of a wholesale exclusion of all activities?

Not Answered If you answered 'no', please give reasons for your answer.: 2.41 If it should continue to apply, should online marketplaces be within scope of the exemption? Not Answered Please give reasons for your answer.: 2.42 What risks do you see involving internet marketplaces or internet auctions? Please share your comments below .: 2.43 If we were to no longer exclude online marketplaces or internet auction providers from the Act, what should the scope of their obligations be? What would be the cost and impact of that change? Please share your comments below.: 2.44 Do you currently rely on this regulatory exemption to offer special remittance card facilities? Not Answered If you answered 'yes', how many facilities do you offer to how many customers?: 2.45 Is the exemption workable or are changes needed to improve its operation? Please share your comments below.: What would be the impact on compliance costs from those changes?: 2.46 Do you consider the exemption properly mitigates any risks of money laundering or terrorism financing through its conditions? Not Answered If you answered 'yes', please give reasons for your answer.: 2.47 Should we amend this regulatory exemption to clarify whether and how it applies to DNFBPs? Not Answered If you answered 'yes', please share your suggestions.: 2.48 Should we issue any new regulatory exemptions? Not Answered If you answered 'yes', please share your suggestions.: Are there any areas where Ministerial exemptions have been granted where a regulatory exemption should be issued instead?:

2.49 Do you currently use a company to provide trustee or nominee services?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', why do you use them, and how many do you use? What is the ownership and control structure for those companies?:

2.50 Should we issue a new regulatory exemption to exempt legal or natural persons that act as trustee, nominee director, or nominee shareholder where there is a parent reporting entity involved that is responsible for discharging their AML/CFT obligations?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.51 If so, what conditions should be attached to such an exemption to ensure it does not raise other money laundering or terrorism financing vulnerabilities?

Please share your comments below.:

2.52 Should we issue a new regulatory exemption to exempt Crown entities, entities acting as agents of the Crown, community trusts, and any other similar entities from AML/CFT obligations?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.53 If you answered 'yes' to the previous question (Question 2.52), what should be the scope of the exemption and possible conditions to ensure it does not raise other money laundering or terrorism financing vulnerabilities?

Please share your suggestions below.:

2.54 Should we issue an exemption for all reporting entities providing low value loans, particularly where those loans are provided for social or charitable purposes?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.55 If so, what conditions should be attached to such an exemption to ensure it does not raise other money laundering or terrorism financing vulnerabilities?

Please share your comments below.:

2.56 Should the AML/CFT Act define its territorial scope?

Not Answered

Please give reasons for your answer.:

2.57 If so, how should the Act define a business or activity to be within the Act's territorial scope?

Please share your comments below.:

- 6. Minor changes
- 6.1 What are your views regarding the minor changes we have identified?

Please share your comments below.:

Are there any changes you don't support? Please tell us what they are and why you don't support them.:

6.2 Are there any other minor changes that we should make to the Act or regulations?

Not Answered

If you answered 'yes', please share your suggestions.: